REMARKS

Claims 57, 59-73 and 75-103 are pending in the present application.

In the Office Action mailed October 31, 2007 (the "Office Action"), the Examiner rejected claims 57, 63, 64, 73, 79, 82-84, 89, 92-95, 99, and 102 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,128,713 to Moehring et al. The Examiner further objected to claims 59-62, 65-72, 75-78, 80, 81, 85-88, 90, 91, 96-98, 100, 101, and 103 as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

With respect to the rejection of claims 57, 63, 64, 73, 79, 82-84, 89, 92-95, 99, and 102 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting, a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.321(c) has been provided with this amendment. Consequently, the rejection of claims 57, 63, 64, 73, 79, 82-84, 89, 92-95, 99, and 102 for obviousness-type double patenting should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Kimton N. Eng

Registration No. 43,605 Telephone No. (206) 903-8718

KNE:alb

Enclosures:

Terminal Disclaimer

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP 1420 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, WA 98101-4010 (206) 903-8800 (telephone) (206) 903-8820 (fax)

h:\ip\clients\spencer technologies\500580.08 amendment 103107.doc